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Abstract

Infertility is one of the major healthcare problems around the world. In order to provide infertile couples with appropriate services, it is essential to have an integrated information system for the management of infertility data. One of the most important aspects of this system is its data architecture so that relevant data can be properly managed and made available to users.

The aim of this study was to determine data architecture components in infertility information systems including data sources, organizations involved in infertility care, data exchanges and datasets.

In this systematic review the four databases (PubMed, Scopus, Science Direct, and Embase) searched. Studies related to each of the various stages of designing, creating, and developing information systems and registries for infertility and assisted reproductive technology were selected. These articles were those published in English between 2007 and 2018.

Findings resulting from 44 selected articles were categorized into four groups including data sources, organizations involved in infertility care, data exchanges, and datasets. The most important data sources are databases, paper forms, patient and birth registries, and vital records. Organizations involved in data management include producing and coordinating organizations. The main data exchanges took place between infertility clinics and national infertility databases.

Provision of proper services to infertile couples requires a well-designed information system, which collects relevant information from different sources, and makes it available to relevant individuals. Data in this system are used to effectively treat infertility, assess the success rates and safety of assisted reproductive technology, and allocate resources.
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Introduction

Infertility is one of the major healthcare problems in all societies around the world [1], and it is one of the most important medical and social problems affecting the mental health of families and societies [2]. According to reports, the world’s infertility rate has risen by 50% since 1955 [3]. In general, infertility is defined as the inability to achieve a pregnancy after 12 months of regular unprotected sexual intercourse [4-7] for most people, and six months in cases where the woman is older than 35 years of age [8], in a way that the couple are not able to achieve a pregnancy after a year of trying [6]. The United States National Institutes of Health (NIH) has provided the above definition as well, and states that the term “infertility” is also used to refer to women who are able to become pregnant, but who cannot maintain the embryo until the end of pregnancy [9]. Infertility occurs both in women and in men [4,10].

According to the United States National Infertility Association, one couple out of every eight couples at reproductive age, (12.5% of the population at reproductive age) faces the problem of achieving a pregnancy or finishing the pregnancy period [11,12]. The average prevalence of infertility is 3.5% to 16.7% in developed countries, and 6.9% to 9.3% in developing countries [1].

Effective design and implementation of relevant programs and protocols by competent infertility-related organizations and entities play a valuable role in infertility management; that is, they organize health services and reduce costs [13]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the United States have released a plan titled “The National Public Health Action Plan”, whose content reflects the scope of programs and activities run by the CDC in relation to infertility management. The aim of this plan is to discover,
prevent, and manage infertility [14]. In the United Kingdom, the Human Fertilization and Embryology Authority (HFEA) is responsible for collecting data about assisted reproductive technology (ART), stored in a database called the “HFEA Register” [15].

The above-mentioned countries and other countries that have infertility management plans have employed information systems to effective and efficient management of infertility. Information systems can provide information regarding more precise assessment of infertility in society, as well as the safety and effectiveness of treatments. These systems can collect information from sources such as donors of egg, sperms, and embryos, patients treated through specific fertilization methods, and cancer patients who use fertility preservation techniques [16]. With increasing infertility globally, the importance of infertility information systems becomes further highlighted. Such a system can help health authorities, medical professionals, and laboratory experts provide patients with optimal care. It can also give the general public a better understanding and view of ART [17]. One of the appropriate methods for comparing pieces of infertility information is the establishment of an information system to collect comprehensive data from all centers that carry out ART [18]. Due to the increasing growth of infertility data and information and importance of managing data and information collected about ART, an information system is needed to collect, control, and regulate these therapeutic cycles in terms of reducing potential risks [19] because the analysis of the probability of success in the treatment of infertility is influenced by the complete and valid data which are made available. For instance, the efficacy of ART is shown by data related to the usefulness and safety of such methods. Data related to treatment methods and their outcomes are important and interesting to all stakeholders including patients, health planners, inspectors, and centers for assisted reproduction [20]. In order to provide infertile couples with appropriate services, it is essential to have an information system because such a system allows for sharing experiences between different centers, and helps define the best dimensions of treatment to improve the outcomes of ART. In addition, in order to provide infertility plans, it is important to exchange information about the accessibility, efficacy, and safety of ART. Therefore, all stakeholders can enhance the advantages of such developing techniques [21].

This study was conducted with the aim of determining the main components of infertility information systems with an approach to data architecture in order to provide a basis for the production and implementation of an efficient infertility information system.

**Materials and Methods**

Electronic sources were searched based on MeSh and Emtree terms in the title, abstract, and keywords of articles published in English.

Searches were conducted in the databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Science Direct, and Embase within a time interval from 2007 to 2018. Figure 1 shows the search strategy for finding relevant articles. Part I (A) contains infertility-related terms, and Part II (B) contains terms related to information systems. In Figure 1, MeSH and Emtree terms appear bolder than other terms. With these two parts combined, the search query was formed: ("Infertility" or "Reproductive Sterility" or "Sterility" or "Sterility, Reproductive" or "Sub-Fertility" or "Subfertility" or "Assisted Reproductive Technology") and ("Information Systems" or "Registry" or "Data Source" or "Database" or "Information architecture" or "Data architecture" or "Information architecture modeling" or "Information system architecture" or "Information architecture modeling" or "Information system architecture modeling" or "infertility data interchange" or "infertility data exchange" or "infertility data sharing" or "infertility data interoperable" or "data standard")

![Figure 1: Key words, Emtree and MeSH terms (bold terms) used in the search strategy.](image-url)
The complementary search continued through reviewing the list of references in the selected articles, and after reading the full text, an article was added to the existing articles. When reviewing the full text of articles, studies related to each of the various stages of designing, launching, creating, developing, and analyzing the results of processing data available in information systems and/or networks of databases and registries for infertility and assisted reproductive technology (at the regional, national, and international levels) were selected. At this stage, no relevant studies were found at the Cochrane database. Out of the articles from other databases, studies that were necessarily about software production and assessment, data mining analysis and/or bioinformatics topics were excluded from the screening process. The search procedure and results are shown in Chart 2. At the stage of completing the selected articles, a deeper study of the full texts was conducted to gain a further understanding of the description of the place of each component of the data architecture in an infertility information system as well as how each of them works in the system. Different types of databases and registries, how they are related to different types of organizations responsible for supervising, owning, and managing infertility and ART databases, data sources, datasets, and data standards were analyzed. At the data extraction stage, the results of this analysis were adjusted and completed in the form of content lists and tables as well as their detail classification tables.

Results

44 articles were selected out of the 2,490 records found, after the screening process (Figure 2). The reviewed studies had been conducted between the years 2007 to 2018.

Table 1: Distribution of articles according to geographical origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical Origin of Study</th>
<th>Frequency of records (percentage of 44 included studies)</th>
<th>Reference Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Region (Denmark, Spain, Belgium, Swiss, Germany)</td>
<td>12 (27.27%)</td>
<td>[18,23,27,30,41,46,52-57]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest number of studies with 12 cases (27.27%) belonged to Europe. Only three cases (6.81%) out of the studies belonged to African countries, one case belonged to Australia, and eight cases out of the studies were conducted at the international level (Table 1).
After reviewing the full texts of articles included in the study and categorizing the findings, finally, the data architecture components of infertility information systems were divided into five groups: the data sources of databases, the institutional ownership of databases, data exchanges, datasets, and data standards (Table 2).

Table 2: Infertility Information System Characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency of records (percentage of 44 included studies)</th>
<th>Reference Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data source</td>
<td>14 (%31.81)</td>
<td>[21-32,35,37,41,47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved organization</td>
<td>17 (%38.63)</td>
<td>[21,23-32,41,59-62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data exchange</td>
<td>35 (%79.54)</td>
<td>[18,22-36,44,38-41,51-65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dataset</td>
<td>43 (%97.72)</td>
<td>[17-32,33-41,44-47,51-57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Standard</td>
<td>22 (%50)</td>
<td>[18,21,24,29,30,58-65,33,34,36,38-40,49,51-57]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Sources

Based on studies conducted, databases of infertility information systems can be supplied with data from various sources including databases of clinics and infertility centers; and these data can be in paper or electronic forms [22-29]. Some infertility clinics like those in Belgium use web-based systems [30] to report their data; and some others, like those in Japan, use online registration systems [31,32]. Each infertility database uses multiple data sources (Table 3). categorizes a summary of these data sources. The most important sources to receive information (in electronic and/or paper reporting forms) are infertility clinics. In addition, these databases may receive information from other registries. Whereas, there are also some databases, whose data sources are other registries, and an example of which is the Danish IVF registry database, which receives its data from the Danish Medical Birth Register and the Danish National Patient Register in addition to electronic forms of infertility clinics [27]. Furthermore, in the United States, data from the National ART Surveillance System (NASS) are linked to the states’ vital records and the disease registry [25].

Table 3: Common data sources in Infertility Information System.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Frequency of records (percentage of 44 included studies)</th>
<th>Reference Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinics (electronic forms)</td>
<td>7 (%15.90)</td>
<td>[22-24,27-29,44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinics (paper-based forms)</td>
<td>5 (%11.36)</td>
<td>[21,35,37,41,47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other registries (Patient Register, Birth Register, disease registry) and Records (States Vital records)</td>
<td>2 (%4.54)</td>
<td>[25,27]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Organizations Involved in Data Management

Based on the conducted studies, there are national authorities in different countries that are responsible for managing and supervising infertility data sent from clinics, as well as for maintaining databases. Organizations involved in the infertility data management are classified into two groups: producing organizations (clinics) and coordinating and supervising organizations. The supervising organizations in the conducted studies were nonprofit [30] and/or state-owned organizations [18,21,22,25,26,29]. One of the most important coordinating and supervising organizations, based on the studies, was the Division of Reproductive Health (DRH) at the CDC [25]. Table 4 lists coordinating and supervising organizations referred to in the studies.

Table 4: Organization involved in data Management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Organization name</th>
<th>Frequency of records (percentage of 44 included studies)</th>
<th>Reference number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Division of Reproductive Health (DRH)</td>
<td>1 (%2.27)</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>IVF Directors Group of the CFAS</td>
<td>4 (%9.09)</td>
<td>[58-61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>National Board of Health (DNBH)</td>
<td>1 (%2.27)</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Spanish Fertility Society (Sociedad Española de Fertilidad; SEF)</td>
<td>1 (%2.27)</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgian Register for Assisted Procreation (Belrap)</td>
<td>1 (%2.27)</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss</td>
<td>Swiss Society of Reproductive Medicine (SGRM)</td>
<td>1 (%2.27)</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>African Society of Reproductive Medicine and Gynecological Endoscopy (SASREG)</td>
<td>1 (%2.27)</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Reproductive Medicine Subcommittee (RMS)</td>
<td>1 (%2.27)</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Exchanges

Based on the studies, data exchanges were performed between infertility clinics and the central infertility and ART database in 17 cases (38.63%) out of the studies. From among the other data exchanges observed in two cases (54.4%) out of the studies, were data exchanges between the infertility or ART registry and other registries and records (Table 5).

Datasets and Data Standards

Out of the 44 reviewed articles, all of them had addressed datasets and their relevant elements. The datasets are categorized into five main groups: demographic data, medical history, ART-related data, and data related to the outcomes and complications of these procedures (Table 6).

Data such as the results of laboratory and imaging procedures were also found in the studied databases. Some of the studies have also referred to data elements such as participating centers [23], performed treatments [24, 33-40], and/or singleton and multiple-gestation pregnancies [33,34,36,38-41]. The number of transmitted embryos has also been mentioned in a number of studies [23,24,30,33,34,36,38-40]. Moreover, a study has referred to the number of canceled treatments [41].

Twenty-two cases (50%) had referred to the use of standard terminologies in order to standardize infertility datasets. These cases are related to the use of a glossary on ART terminology published by the International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology (ICMART).

Discussion

In the second decade of the new millennium, infertility has still remained a very common situation across the world. The infertility rate reaches 30% in some parts of the world including South Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, the Middle East and North Africa, Eastern and Central Europe, and Central Asia [42]. Infertility is of great importance in western societies in a way that its average prevalence is estimated at 9% in these countries [43].

In developed European [18,23,27,30,50,52,54-59] and American [22,25,26,32-39] countries, more attention has been paid to the issues of infertility and its treatment, as well as the creation and development of registries and databases in this domain. The present study classifies organizations involved in infertility data management into two groups: producing organizations and coordinating organizations. It should be noted that the most important group among these organizations includes data-producing organizations into which infertility clinics fall. These organizations can be either governmental or non-governmental. Careful and continuous supervision by supervising organizations can have a significant effect on improving the performance of data-producing organizations. If correct, relevant, and high-quality data are generated by the group of producing companies, managerial levels can use these data to make and update appropriate policies in this regard.

Based on the findings of the present study, the data sources of infertility information system are diverse. These sources put patients’ clinical data at the disposal of the system. They mainly include electronic and/or paper forms, web-based systems, and/or online registration systems that contain main data about infertility and ART, and that are sent to the central infertility database through...
In addition to these essential data, an infertility information system can receive data from birth registries, patient registries [27], disease registries, and vital records [25]. Moreover, receiving follow-up data from medical records can help complete the information of infertility databases [44].

Due to the diversity of data sources in an infertility information system, it is very difficult to determine a dataset for such a system. Therefore, different datasets for infertility have been introduced in sources [20,45-47]. One type of categorization is the division of datasets into seven categories: main demographic data; treatment cycle characteristics; data on the type of drugs used; data on oocytes; fertility; and embryos; and treatment outcome (pregnancy and childbirth) and complication data [46]. Another category introduced in this regard divides the required data into two general categories: clinical and paraclinical data. Paraclinical data include data on couples’ laboratory test results and couples’ medical imaging results. Clinical data encompasses data on females’ current disease history; females’ reproductive system history; pregnancy history; females’ sexual and infertility history; male’s diseases and problems; males’ case history; couples’ previous medical and surgical history; couples’ disease history; couples’ consultations; and couples’ treatments [45]. Another categorization divides these data into three main groups: the patient’s main information, the previous medical history, and the treatment plan [47]. In another example of categorization, infertility data are divided into patients’ demographic data, their medical history, and the results of laboratory tests, diagnosis, and treatment [20]. Although all these categorizations include main data required for infertility management and treatment, some studies take into consideration the number of infertility centers and clinics [23], the number of treatments performed [23,24,33-40], and the number of treatments canceled as well [41]. It is noteworthy that in all the studies, demographic data, and diagnostic and therapeutic data were taken into consideration, but that paraclinical data and data on medical history and treatment outcomes and complications were not reported in some studies. This is while the safety and success rates of ART can be assessed based on the results of these treatments. Due to the diversity of data sources and infertility data, efficient and effective use of these data requires the presence of an integrated information platform; and this integration is realizable in the light of using data standards.

Different types of standards affect the quality of data in different dimensions [48]. It is essential to have standard definitions in order to benchmark the ART results at the national and international levels. When data are collected internationally, standardization is necessary so that efficiency, safety, and quality of multinational actions and researches can be monitored [49].

In the present study, a standard terminology was introduced for the purpose of data exchanges. This standard terminology is based on a glossary on ART terminology published by the International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology (ICMART) and the World Health Organization (WHO) under the title “ICMART-World Health Organization (WHO) glossary” [18,21,24,29,30,33,34,36,38-40,49,51-65]. A standard terminology provides a common language that facilitates data exchanges between different registries and databases. Although other standards such as HL7 Messaging Standard are used to exchange health documents, this study has only addressed standard terminologies.

Data exchanges and how they flow at different levels are among the components of data architecture [50]. In the present study, infertility clinics transmit data requested from coordinating and monitoring organizations in different forms (electronic or paper forms, online registration systems) to central databases present in these organizations [17,22-28,30-32,35,37,41]. Another group of communications is data exchanges between the central database or registry and other specialized databases and registries such as the medical birth registry and the national patient registry [27]. Of course, it should be noted that the presence of a developed information system can integrate patients’ information, improve the quality, safety, and accessibility of medical care services, reduce costs, and provide specialists with relevant and necessary information, and facilitate researches into the field of infertility through collecting comprehensive, complete, and integrated data. Due to the need for the collection of accurate data, as well as analysis and processing of these data, the presence of an infertility information system is essential for any country because an infertility information system can help better understand the effect of ART on infertile people.

Conclusion

The presence of an integrated information system is of great importance for effective and efficient infertility management. If this system can be produced and developed based on the principles of the architecture of information systems, infertility data management will improve. Clear data sources, use of standard datasets that facilitate data collection and processing, and use of messaging standards will result in appropriate responses to users’ needs at different levels.
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