New Understanding of Fanconi Anemia Signaling Network upon Studying FANCD2
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Abstract

The Fanconi Anemia (FA) pathway is activated upon replication stress and DNA damage. With the accumulated studies, this pathway has emerged as a fundamental signaling network to defend genome stability. The Fanconi Anemia complementation group D2 protein (FANCD2) sits at the center of the pathway, orchestrating many players to prevent our genome from going awry, leading to diseases including cancer. Here, we highlight recent advances in our understanding of FA signaling, emphasizing on a rarely known form of FANCD2, FANCD2-V2.

Fanconi Anemia

Fanconi anemia is a rare genetic disease, characterized by developmental and physical abnormalities, bone marrow failure and increased cancer susceptibility [1-9]. The majority of children with FA are likely to develop myelodysplastic syndrome or acute myeloid leukemia (AML), while adults are predisposed to head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, gynecological, and gastrointestinal cancers [1-3,5,6,8,10,11]. FA is caused by germline mutations in any of the 22 FA genes (FANCA/B/C/D1/D2/E/F/G/I/J/L/M/N/O/P/Q/R/S/T/U/V/W). FA gene products maintain genomic integrity and participate in a common DNA repair pathway- the FA/BRCA pathway [2,4,12,13]. Proteins involved are specialized more in resolving DNA interstrand cross-links (ICL), a lesion that blocks both DNA replication and transcription [2,5,14]. Patients suffering from FA display high frequencies of chromosomal abnormalities and are hypersensitive to DNA crosslinking agents (i.e. mitomycin C, cisplatin, diepoxbutane) [1,3,5,6,8]. If DNA is not properly repaired it can lead to genomic instability, apoptosis, senescence and tumorigenesis [4,7].

FA Signaling

Proteins implicated in the FA/BRCA pathway coordinate nearly all known DNA repair mechanisms in order to resolve ICLs [1-5]. Given accumulated studies showing much crosstalk between FA and other important DNA damage repair proteins, FA signaling pathway emerges as a significant signaling network, responding to a variety of genotoxic stresses. This network is activated upon replication stress or DNA damage. FANCM is a DNA translocase and forms a protein complex with FAAP24 (FA-associated protein 24) and others. FANCM-FAAP24 recognizes stalled replication forks due to the ICL [1,2]. Histone-fold containing kinetochore protein MHF1-MHF2 allow for the stable association of FANCM to chromatin [5]. ATR checkpoint kinase phosphorylates FANCM proceeding its recruitment to the site of damage [3]. Eight FA proteins assemble and form the FA core complex (FANCA, B, C, E, F, G, L, M) in addition to FAAP100, FAAP20, and FAAP24 to act as E3 ubiquitin ligase. Similarly, to FANCM, FANCD2 and FANCI are phosphorylated in an ATR-dependent manner. FANCT acts as an E2 together with the FA complex E3 responsible for monoubiquitinating FANCD2 and FANCI at Lys 561 and Lys 523, respectively [15]. The monoubiquitination of FANCD2-FANCI (ID2) complex is a key step, representing FA signaling network activation. Therefore, FANCD2 must be tightly regulated to maintain DNA repair. The ID2 complex moves to the DNA lesion and recruits’ nucleases (FANCP/SLX4 and ERCC1/XPF) to unhook the ICL [2,16]. The incision is converted to
a double strand break (DSB) and translesion synthesis (TLS) allows for continued replication of the leading strand, while the lagging strand is mediated by homologous recombination (HR) [3,5,14,17-20]. However, it remains largely unclear as to how each individual DNA damage repair mechanism works in concert to fully repair the damage within the expanded FA signaling network, including many FA and non-FA proteins that are yet to be identified.

**FA Signaling and Cancer**

Therefore, if the FA pathway is impaired due to mutations in involved genes, DNA remains damaged, promoting genotoxic stress, genomic instability, and tumorigenesis [3,21,22]. For instance, unresolved ICLs cause DNA breakage and chromosomal rearrangement, leading to cancer development [4]. Mutations or epigenetic silencing of FA genes are found in breast, ovarian and pancreatic cancers, and heterozygous germline mutations in BRCA2/FANCD1, BRIP1/FANC, PALB2/FANCN, RAD51C/FANCO, and BRCA1/FANC are important cancer risk alleles [6,12,23-25]. Recently, researchers performed whole genome sequencing on three patients presented with three up to five primary cancers. Interestingly, the varied genes in each patient are part of the FA pathway [26]. FA gene defects are found in a variety of human cancers. Of the FA genes, FANCA has the highest mutation rate and is associated with AML, pancreatic, cervical, oral and prostate cancers [1,27]. An elevated FANCA expression determines a worse outcome for patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and is due to a reduction of p53 genes, p21 and ΔNp73 [28]. Another group found FANCA amplification correlates with reduced progression-free survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma after radiotherapy [27]. FANCC has the next highest mutation rate and is similarly associated with pancreatic, cervical and oral cancers in addition to breast cancer [1,29]. Like any other FA gene, mutations in FANCD2 gene are involved in a variety of malignancies, including testicular and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [1]. However, its importance is far beyond cancer implications, as FANCD2 sits at the center of the FA signaling network, and its activation/monoubiquitination represents the activation of FA signaling. With our recent studies on FANCD2, the previous unrecognized variant of FANCD2, FANCD2-V2 appears to be a more potent tumor suppressor than the commonly known form of FANCD2, FANCD2-V1. This review will focus on our current knowledge of FANCD2-V2. The related studies will aid in further understanding the roles that FANCD2 and/or FA signaling play in the development of human cancer and other diseases.

**Overlooked FANCD2 Variant**

Previously, our lab reported the first study on an alternate variant of FANCD2, named FANCD2-V2 (NCBI RefSeq accession#NM_033084.4) [30]. We refer to the long-known form as FANCD2-V1. FANCD2-V2’s cDNA is 60 bp longer than FANCD2-V1, encoding a 1471 amino acid (aa) transcript compared to FANCD2-V1 at 1451 aa. Both variants share 1427 aa at the N-terminus, resulting in 95% aa identity. We checked the expression of both FANCD2 variants in human lung tumor and matched normal samples. Interestingly, V2/V1 (ratio of V2 to V1) expression is higher in normal/non-malignant tissue compared to malignant tissues. We further assessed a panel of normal (CRL-1790, HEK293, WI-38) and tumor (HEK293T, PA-1, U2OS, HCT116, HT-29, RKO, LoVo) cell lines, which demonstrate the same trend.

In examining FANCD2 gene structure, we found a proximal and distal polyadenylation site (PAS). When the proximal PAS is used the FANCD2-V2 transcript loses the last intron; however, this intron is incorporated in the FANCD2-V1 transcript. We learned through RNA polymerase Il ChIP that more DNA fragments were pulled down at the distal PAS in malignant cells compared to non-malignant cells. U2 snRNP RNA Immunoprecipitation (RIP) showed SF3A1 antibody, a component of U2 snRNP, pulled down fragments representing the last FANCD2-V1 intron with greater binding in malignant cells than non-malignant cells. This finding suggests FANCD2-V1 transcripts are more prevalent in malignant cells. Additionally, human bladder and ovarian cancer samples present an increased V2/V1 expression in lower stage cancers. These data demonstrate FANCD2-V2 could be a more potent tumor suppressor as its expression is high in non-malignant cells and tissues.

Studies propose more than 50% of mammalian genes have multiple PAS [30]. How are sites of polyadenylation determined? A possible cause is DNA methylation. We recently reported, treating HEK293 with DNA methyltransferases reduced FANCD2-V2 expression and DNA methylation intensity near the proximal APS by methylated DNA Immunoprecipitation (MeDIP) [31]. Our findings were validated with publicly available datasets (TCGA) in lung, ovarian, kidney, endometrial, colon and breast cancer, displaying an elevated methylated distal to methylated proximal APS ratio (Me-D/Me-P) is associated with malignancy. A high level of DNA methylation in the distal APS promotes FANCD2-V1 expression. Conversely, a high level of DNA methylation in the proximal APS results in FANCD2-V2 expression. To this end, we identified how two forms of FANCD2 are differentially expressed and connected with human tumorigenesis. However, it is largely unknown as to which traits in contributing to the functions are common or different between them. Simply, we would also ask; do additional FANCD2 variants exist? How are they expressed and/or function?

**Conclusion and Prospective**

In this short review, we aimed to update our understanding of FA signaling network with a focus on the center player, FANCD2. We described a recent recognized form of FANCD2, FANCD2-V2. This variant is expressed higher in non-malignant cell lines and tissues comparing to the corresponding malignant ones, due to proximal APS usage. These data suggest the long-known form FANCD2-V1 may be rather oncogenic compared to FANCD2-V2, which is more
tumor suppressive. Of note, another group identified an alternatively splice isoform of FANCE, FANCEΔ4, which is expressed in breast cancer patients without BRCA1/2 mutations [32]. FANCEΔ4 blocked cells into G2/M phase after MMC treatment, reduced cell survival, and restricted FANCD2 and FANCI from monoubiquitination. Perhaps additional FA genes rely on alternative splicing for proper expression and gene regulation. More studies are needed to define the function(s) of alternative isoforms as wild-type protein function maybe disrupted or the isoform could gain new functions. These studies will allow for further characterization of FA genes and a deeper understanding of the FA signaling network.
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