



Opinion

Copyright© Dr. Maria Luisa Nabinger de Almeida

Accountability and Untruth

Dr. Maria Luisa Nabinger de Almeida

Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

*Corresponding author: Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

To Cite This article: Dr. Maria Luisa Nabinger de Almeida, Accountability and Untruth. *Am J Biomed Sci & Res.* 2026 29(5) AJBSR.MS.ID.003843,

DOI: [10.34297/AJBSR.2026.29.003843](https://doi.org/10.34297/AJBSR.2026.29.003843)

Received: December 25, 2025; Published: January 12, 2026

Abstract

This is the dawn of a new era, where contemporary men feel lost in intolerance and lies. Without understanding the ruptures we are experiencing, humanity resorts to different past centuries, unable to foresee the present age of robotics.

Keywords: Epistemological ruptures, Philosophical thought, Survival time, World of robots, Transparency, responsibility and truth

Opinion

Few people have realized that last twenty-five years of this quarter-century have produced epistemological ruptures, in sense of Gaston Bachelard (1884-1962). Nothing exists as it once did in governance, nor in customs and habits.

Accountability has led us to intolerance and disrespect, where, however, we have seen as much lying as untruth. Humanity behaves under different historical layers produced by the great force of the Industrial Revolution, crushing the humanist legacy as seen in philosophical thought since Immanuel Kant (1724-1804).

In this eagerness to transform reality through the distorted lens omnipotence, we have made the Humanities and Social Sciences "legitimate" even for which thought could not comprehend nor eyes could perceive.

I believe that humans preferred to believe in beliefs to avoid having to process intuition, perception, and understanding. Like a turbine, we processed everything we believed to be true, even the lies.

What crossroads are we at today? The same olde one? Starting from scratch? For the last fifty years, humanity has thrown itself, as a lifeline, into the new science: Ecology. Since then, countless

approaches have emerged and expanded until we reached an impasse: as both subject and object, we have stumbled upon solutions that met expectations. Currently, we no longer have answers. Nature "seeks what is hers", like all forms of life, in a Darwinian strategy: the strongest survive, whether in foods, windstorms, snowfall, tropical heat, displacements, or in the confrontation between men, even in everyday life. It is unthinkable to witness, as a subject, how everyone is struggling for survival, one against the other. Or the wolf of man that John Locke (1632-1704) had apprehended the need for a Leviathan State. We have traversed centuries seeking an authoritarian, tyrannical governance, whose peak occurred in the Holocaust in the mid-twenty century.

As something invisible, however, no one dared to speak of electron, the atom, or radioactivity as influential pillars of power relations between men, one over another. In the face of the new world that many ignore is still living under the knowledge of the past, we no longer have any political, cultural, or economic institution that dignifies man and nature of other animals.

We are on a blank slate, according to J. Locke, or a natural goodness of Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712-1778) in an automated society, where men will be useless. Except one team that we now



call artificial intelligence, which has nothing to do with the pathetic elite theory of Vilfredo Pareto (1848-1923) and Gaetano Mosca (1858-1941).

Given the challenges of coming decades of the twenty-one centuries, it will be very important for us to introduce ourselves to this new world new with transparency, responsibility and truth.

P.S. A few days after this text was sent, the US stormed Venezuela. This makes us see a world internationally without references, such as international law, the concepts of sovereignty

and self-determination of people. The time is for survival and facing the challenges in creating a new world new.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.