American Journal of
Biomedical Science & Research

www.biomedgrid.com
ISSN: 2642-1747

Review Article

Cochlear Implants and Early Intervention in Optimizing Auditory and Linguistic Development

Graça Cristina Oliveira*, Helena Alves, João Elói Moura and Ricardo Caiado and Luís Filipe Silva

Cochlear Implant Reference Center, Local Health Unit of Coimbra, Portugal

***Corresponding author:** Graça Cristina Oliveira, Cochlear Implant Reference Center, Local Health Unit of Coimbra, Portugal Hospital Geral, Quinta dos Vales, 3040 Coimbra, Portugal.

To Cite This article: *Graça Cristina Oliveira*, Helena Alves, João Elói Moura and Ricardo Caiado and Luís Filipe Silva, Cochlear Implants and Early Intervention in Optimizing Auditory and Linguistic Development. Am J Biomed Sci & Res. 2026 30(5) AJBSR.MS.ID.003954,*

DOI: 10.34297/AJBSR.2026.30.003954

Received: March 17, 2026; **Published:** March 26, 2026

Abstract

Severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss in childhood can significantly compromise the development of language, communication, and social integration. Currently, Cochlear Implants (CIs) represent one of the most effective interventions for children who do not benefit from conventional hearing aids. This study analyzes the impact of early intervention with cochlear implants on the auditory and linguistic development of children with profound bilateral hearing loss. The research includes a longitudinal analysis of clinical data from children implanted before 24 months of age and a review of scientific literature published between 2015 and 2024. Auditory skills, speech production, and language comprehension were evaluated over a 24-month period following implant activation. The results show significant progression in auditory and linguistic skills, particularly in children implanted before 18 months. These findings reinforce the importance of neural plasticity during the first years of life and highlight the role of intensive therapeutic intervention and family involvement in optimizing communication outcomes. It is concluded that early diagnosis, combined with timely implantation and multidisciplinary auditory rehabilitation, is a decisive factor in maximizing functional results for children with profound hearing loss.

Keywords: Cochlear Implant, Early Intervention, Auditory Development, Language Acquisition, Neural Plasticity, Childhood Hearing Loss, Auditory Rehabilitation

Abbreviations: CI: Cochlear Implant; EAD: Early Auditory Development (DAP); L1: Mother Tongue / Native Language; CAP: Categories of Auditory Performance.

Introduction

Childhood hearing loss is a major public health issue with a significant impact on a child's linguistic, cognitive, and social development. It is estimated that approximately 1 to 3 per 1,000 newborns have significant hearing loss, with severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss being one of the most challenging conditions in the context of auditory rehabilitation [1]. During the first years of life, the brain exhibits high neural plasticity, allowing auditory circuits to organize and develop in response to sound stimulation. Prolonged auditory deprivation can lead to changes in the development of the central auditory system, affecting sound perception and language acquisition [2]. This phenomenon underscores the importance of early intervention to minimize the effects of auditory deprivation. In recent decades, technological advances in cochlear implants have revolutionized the treatment of profound hearing loss. A cochlear implant works by converting acoustic signals into electrical impulses that directly stimulate the auditory nerve, allowing individuals with severe to profound deafness to perceive sounds and develop functional auditory skills. Several studies demonstrate that the age of implantation is one of the most relevant factors for successful auditory rehabilitation.

Children implanted early show better results in terms of language development, speech recognition, and school integration [3].

Furthermore, newborn hearing screening programs have contributed to the early identification of hearing loss and the rapid implementation of intervention strategies. The international "1-3-6" model establishes that hearing screening should occur by the first month of life, definitive diagnosis by three months, and the start of intervention by six months. This protocol has shown a positive impact in reducing the consequences of childhood hearing loss [4]. Despite advances in the field, challenges remain regarding the variability of clinical outcomes following cochlear implantation. Factors such as age at implantation, intensity of auditory rehabilitation, family linguistic environment, and the presence of comorbidities can significantly influence a child's linguistic development. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the impact of early intervention with cochlear implants on childhood auditory and linguistic development, as well as identify factors associated with better therapeutic outcomes.

Materials and Methods

The sample consisted of 25 children diagnosed with severe to profound bilateral sensorineural hearing loss, followed at the Cochlear Implant Reference Center in Coimbra. Ages at implantation ranged from 9 to 24 months. Inclusion criteria were defined as: confirmed diagnosis of severe to profound bilateral sensorineural hearing loss, cochlear implantation before 24 months, and regular follow-up in speech therapy after CI activation. Exclusion criteria included: presence of severe neurological comorbidities, genetic syndromes associated with significant cognitive delay, and absence of systematic speech therapy follow-up.

Following cochlear implant activation, children were followed for 24 months, participating in structured speech therapy programs focused on the development of auditory perception and oral language. Evaluations were conducted quarterly to analyze the progressive evolution of auditory and linguistic skills.

The following standardized instruments were used in this study:

a) Categories of Auditory Performance (CAP): A widely used scale to evaluate functional auditory skills, ranging from the detection of environmental sounds to the understanding of conversation in open contexts.

b) Word Recognition Tests: Used to evaluate auditory discrimination and lexical recognition capacity.

c) Speech Production Assessment: Included analysis of speech intelligibility and phonological development.

A narrative literature review was also conducted in scientific databases: PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. Studies published between 2015 and 2024 related to early cochlear implantation, linguistic development, auditory plasticity, and pediatric auditory rehabilitation were included.

Results and Discussion

The results demonstrated significant progression in auditory skills throughout the follow-up period. Within the first 6 months after activation, most children showed improvement in detecting and discriminating environmental sounds. After 12 months, consistent progress was observed in word recognition and the understanding of simple verbal commands. Children implanted before 18 months showed higher scores on the CAP scale compared to children implanted later. Progressive improvement in speech production was also observed. After two years of implant use, some children demonstrated the ability to produce simple sentences and participate in spontaneous communicative interactions.

The results reinforce scientific evidence that early intervention with cochlear implants promotes better auditory and linguistic outcomes in children with severe to profound hearing loss. Implantation at an early age takes advantage of the critical period of neural plasticity, during which the central auditory system has a greater capacity to adapt to new sensory inputs [2]. When auditory stimulation occurs early, there is a higher probability of developing adequate neural pathways for language processing. Longitudinal studies show that children implanted before age two are more likely to achieve language levels comparable to those of hearing children [5].

Another relevant factor observed was the importance of intensive therapeutic intervention. Auditory-verbal therapy plays an essential role in developing auditory and linguistic skills by encouraging the functional use of hearing in daily communication. Additionally, family involvement is a fundamental element in the rehabilitation process. Families who actively participate in therapeutic activities (speech therapy) and stimulate communication in the home/daily environment contribute significantly to the child's linguistic development [6].

Despite the positive results, it is important to recognize the variability in clinical outcomes. Factors such as age at implantation, quality of auditory rehabilitation, and sociocultural context can influence long-term results. The findings of this study have important implications for clinical practice and the organization of health services. First, they reinforce the need for universal newborn hearing screening programs. Second, they highlight the importance of multidisciplinary teams, including otolaryngologists, audiologists, speech therapists, and specialized educators. Finally, they emphasize the essential role of continuous therapeutic follow-up to consolidate auditory and linguistic development post-implantation [7].

Conclusion

Early intervention with cochlear implants has a significant impact on childhood auditory and linguistic development. Children implanted before 24 months are more likely to develop adequate

communication skills, benefiting from the high neural plasticity present in the first years of life. The coordination between early diagnosis, timely implantation, and structured auditory rehabilitation programs is a determining factor for maximizing therapeutic results. Future research should continue to explore intervention strategies that promote better linguistic and social outcomes for children with profound hearing loss.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participating clinical teams, the families involved in the study, and the institutional support provided by the Cochlear Implant Reference Center of the Local Health Unit of Coimbra.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. World Health Organization (2021) World Report on Hearing.
2. Sharma A, Dorman M, Spahr T (2015) A sensitive period for the development of the central auditory system in children with cochlear implants. International Journal of Audiology.
3. Svirsky MA (2017) Early cochlear implantation and linguistic development in children. Cochlear Implants International.
4. Waltzman SB, Roland JT (2018) Cochlear implantation in children younger than 12 months. Otology & Neurotology.
5. Dettman SJ, Dowell RC, Choo D, Wendy Arnott, Yetta Abrahams et al. (2016) Long-term communication outcomes for children receiving cochlear implants younger than 12 months. Otol Neurotol 37(2): e82-95.
6. Niparko JK, et al. (2016) Spoken language development in children following cochlear implantation. Pediatrics.
7. Ching TYC, et al. (2019) Early intervention intensity and language outcomes for children using cochlear implants. Deafness & Education International.